## **Multilingual Learner Class Placement Guidelines- High School**

## LTEL Pathways (5 years or more IN country)

ELPA Comp. lit. score Overall WIDA score	Core (Eng 1,2,3,4) EL or Gen. ED Teacher	Eng Elective (Acqu, Trans, Comp Lit, Avd comp lit, Acc. Lang. Dev.)	Extra 3 <sup>rd</sup> period Support	Alternatives / Notes
2 – 4 1-2	Core Eng with ML Teacher	REQUIRED Eng elective with ML teacher	ML student has the option of 3 <sup>rd</sup> period based upon master schedule and credits	Investigate history of interrupted education, or other potential barriers
5-6	Gen. Ed. Springboard	REQUIRED LTEL ML Eng elective		***If the ML Team agrees that the LTEL student would benefit from a regular ML block then that is permitted  ***If the ML Team agrees that the LTEL student does not need a LTEL ML Eng Elective based on grades and other factors they can request a meeting with ML Facilitator on individual basis
7- 9 4-5	Gen. Ed. Springboard	<ul> <li>If passing gen. ed. SB:         MONITOR (using the         weekly excel sheet)</li> <li>If close to failing:         Conference with student</li> <li>If failing gen. ed. SB: Add         a LTEL ML to the         Accelerated Lang. Dev.         Class [ENG047/048]</li> </ul>		***9 <sup>th</sup> graders required to have Gen. Ed. AND LTEL ML class UNLESS ML Team decides high achieving student can be monitored via weekly excel sheet.  ***If 9 <sup>th</sup> grade LTEL: number permit offers a separate 9 <sup>th</sup> grade LTEL ML Eng elective
OTG issues < 2.0 GPA  I.E. LTEL students not on track to graduate	Gen. Ed. Springboard	If schedule allows student will receive LTEL ML Eng elective AND Success Time for credit recovery     If schedule does not allow LTEL ML Eng Elective, then student will receive ONLY Success Time for credit recovery AND monitored via weekly excel document		This option is only for our students who are at risk of not graduating on time  OTG= On Time Graduation

## Recommended curriculum for LTEL ML Accelerated Language Development Class

## Writing:

- National Geographic "Great Writing" Program
- Teach Informational, Argumentative writing modes
- Review Narrative writing mode

#### Reading:

- SBA address short answer responses and vocabulary using the stem questions that were given to you
- Focus on: text evidence, inference, vocabulary, sequence, drawing conclusions, theme, main idea

# ML or SLIFE Pathways (5 years or less IN country or interrupted schooling = SLIFE)

ELPA comp. lit. score Overall WIDA score	Core (Eng 1,2,3,4) With ML or Gen. Ed. Teacher	Eng Elective (Acqu, Trans, Comp Lit, Avd comp lit)	Extra 3 <sup>rd</sup> period Support	Alternatives / Notes
1-2	Core Eng with ML Teacher  • Edge Fundamentals	<ul> <li>REQUIRED Eng elective with ML teacher</li> <li>ILL</li> </ul>	ML student has the option of 3 <sup>rd</sup> period based upon master schedule and credits	
3-4 2-3	Core Eng with ML Teacher  • Edge A	REQUIRED Eng elective with ML teacher  • ILL if needed		
5-6 3	Core Eng with ML Teacher  Edge B / C	REQUIRED Eng elective with ML teacher  • Great Writing		
7-9 4-5	Gen. Ed. Springboard	ML Eng elective OPTIONAL  If passing gen. ed. SB: MONITOR (using the weekly excel sheet)  If close to failing: Conference with student  If failing gen. ed. SpB: Add an ML Eng elective		
OTG issues < 2.0 GPA  I.E. ML students who are not on track to graduate	Core Eng with ML teacher or Gen. Ed. (make sure to follow placement based on comp lit score)	If schedule allows student will receive ML Eng elective AND Success Time for credit recovery  If schedule does not allow ML Eng elective, then student will receive ONLY Success Time for credit recovery		This option is only for our ML students at risk of not graduating on time  OTG= On Time Graduation

#### Rationales for Separating LTEL / ML Pathways

- 1. **Research-based best practices**. Based on the NEA findings from the article "Meeting the Unique Needs of Long-Term English Language Learners," LTELs need "a grade-level English class where they are mixed with strong native speakers." It is highly recommended that the LTELs make up no more than one third of the class. In addition, it is suggested that an academic language development course be taught by the same teacher. We can't fully accomplish this without more ML-endorsed general education teachers, but at least we can provide a general education class plus support with an ML certified teacher.
- 2. Student voice. If advanced LTELs/MLs are required to take two ML classes without exception every year, they have no room in their schedule for career electives, L1 development, or AP classes. We end up doing a disservice to these very growth-minded students. The environment in support classes restricts their ability to push themselves, particularly when many classmates are struggling learners with study skill deficits. Advanced ML/LTEL students who have a 2.0 GPA and strong study skills should have freedom to choose electives that align with their career interests, AVID, AP Language classes, Running Start, Sno-Isle, etc. while supporting language development.
- 3. **Morale**. Most LTELs had general education English Language Arts classes in middle school, so they feel insulted when that is taken away in high school and they are placed back in "baby classes" with MLs. Furthermore, almost all our ML/LTEL students test into the 97-101 English classes at community college, where they are mixed with native speakers. The combination of high school general education classes, plus support ML classes, can build LTEL's confidence to transition into a college classroom without sheltering. Our highly motivated ELPA level 5/6 MLs are generally excited to try general education classes for the first time, and they are usually successful with minimal modifications and some support from their ML teachers. MLs/LTELs who fail general education classes typically have attendance and/or work completion problems.
- 4. **General Education ELA Springboard structure**. Our mixed-grade level ML classes make it almost impossible to provide a grade-level appropriate core Springboard class for freshmen, sophomores, juniors, and seniors who are ready to meet state standards. The ELD and CCSS are integrated in the SB texts; hopefully, offering general education teachers ML academic supports within this curriculum at each grade level. As our ML population expands, it seems like we're moving in the wrong direction if we subtract ML/LTEL student voice from every general education English class. It is essential that we help support these general education teachers so that the ML LTEL students are receiving instruction at their language development level and assuring that they are college and career ready upon graduation.
- 5. **Behavior**. Grouping 22 struggling and in some cases chronically disengaged students (frequently seen LTEL profile per the research) in block classes leaves these students without positive role models and a "zone of proximal development" it becomes a recipe for potential disaster. We could minimize toxic grouping if students were only in ML support for one period a day (see point 1.)
- 6. **Professional judgment**. We need some freedom to make placement decisions based on what students need, not one test score.